Local Government Council

ACTION PACKET

Wednesday, March 8, 2006
1:00 P.M.
404 House Office Building

Allan G. Bense
Speaker

Ken Sorensen
Chair

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

Attendance:

	Present	*Absent*	*Excused*
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X		
Thomas Anderson	X		
Mike Davis	X		
Terry Fields	X		
D. Alan Hays	X		
Matthew Meadows	X		
Julio Robaina	X		
Yolly Roberson	X		
Totals:	**8**	**0**	**0**

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 143 : Retirement

[X] *Temporarily Deferred*

Appearances:

Larry L. Carmichael - Opponent
Florida Retired Educators Association
1407 Devils Dip
Tallahassee FL 32308
Phone: 850-877-7618

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 405 CS : Deferred Compensation Programs

[X] *Favorable With Committee Substitute*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

Eric Thorn (Lobbyist) - Proponent
Seminole County
215 S Monroe Street
Tallahassee FL 32301
Phone: 850-521-0600

Bobby Brantley - Proponent
Seminole County
215 S Monroe Street
Tallahassee FL 32301
Phone: 850-521-0600

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

Bill No. 405

COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION

ADOPTED	__	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	__	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	✓	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	__	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	__	(Y/N)
OTHER	____	

---

1 Council/Committee hearing bill: Local Government
2 Representative Mealor offered the following:
3
4 **Amendment (with title amendment)**
5 Remove line(s) 46-54 and insert:
6 (4) (a) The Chief Financial Officer, ~~with the approval of the~~
7 ~~State Board of administration,~~ shall establish such plan or
8 plans of deferred compensation for ~~state~~ employees of
9 governmental entities, including all such investment vehicles or
10 products incident thereto, as may be available through, or
11 offered by, qualified companies or persons, and may approve one
12 or more such plans for implementation by and on behalf of
13 governmental entities ~~the state~~ and their ~~its agencies and~~
14 employees. The State Board of Administration shall approve the
15 initial establishment of any deferred compensation plan for
16 state employees administered by the Chief Financial Officer,
17 including all investment products or vehicles. Any county,
18 municipality, or other political subdivision of the state shall
19 approve the establishment of any plan and investment vehicles or
20 products for their employees. Once a plan for state employees is
21 established, the State Board of Administration shall assist the

22 Chief Financial Officer by reviewing and commenting on changes
23 to the plan and investment products offered to state employees,
24 but the Chief Financial Officer, or his designee, shall solely
25 retain the responsibility for making decisions with respect to
26 all plan matters. At the request of the Chief Financial Officer,
27 the State Board of Administration shall supply such assistance,
28 consistent with State Board of Administration rule and a
29 mutually executed agreement between the parties which sets out
30 services and fees.
31
32
33 ========== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========
34 Remove line 10 and insert:
35 "governmental entity"; providing for duties of the State Board
36 of Administration; authorizing governmental entities,

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 573 : Disabled Veterans

X *Favorable*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 683 : Developments of Regional Impact

[X] *Favorable With Committee Substitute*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

Susan Caplowe (Lobbyist) - Opponent
Sierra Club
P. O. Box 1201
Tallahassee FL 32302
Phone: 850-567-2448

Wade Hopping - Proponent
Association of Florida Community Developers
710 N Ride Road
Tallahassee FL 32303
Phone: 850-222-7500

Bill Hunter (Lobbyist) - Proponent
Association of Florida Community Developers
307 West Park Avenue, Suite 214
Tallahassee FL 32301
Phone: 850-681-2176

David Ramba (Lobbyist) - Proponent
Bonita Bay Group
P. O. Box 10788
Tallahassee FL 32302
Phone: 850-222-5702

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

Bill No. **0683**

COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION

ADOPTED	__	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	__	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	✓	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	__	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	__	(Y/N)
OTHER	____	

---

1 Council/Committee hearing bill: Local Government Council
2 Representative Traviesa offered the following:
3
4 **Amendment (with title amendment)**
5 Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:
6 Section 1. Paragraphs (a) and (i) of subsection (4) and
7 subsections (15), (19), and (24) of section 380.06, Florida
8 Statutes, are amended, and subsection (28) is added to that
9 section, to read:
10 380.06 Developments of regional impact.--
11 (4) BINDING LETTER.--
12 (a) If any developer is in doubt whether his or her
13 proposed development must undergo development-of-regional-impact
14 review under the guidelines and standards, whether his or her
15 rights have vested pursuant to subsection (20), or whether a
16 proposed substantial change to a development of regional impact
17 concerning which rights had previously vested pursuant to
18 subsection (20) would divest such rights, the developer may
19 request a determination from the state land planning agency. The
20 developer or the appropriate local government having
21 jurisdiction may request that the state land planning agency
22 determine whether the amount of development that remains to be

23 built in an approved development of regional impact meets the
24 criteria of subparagraph (15)(g)3.
25 (i) In response to an inquiry from a developer or the
26 appropriate local government having jurisdiction, the state land
27 planning agency may issue an informal determination in the form
28 of a clearance letter as to whether a development is required to
29 undergo development-of-regional-impact review, or whether the
30 amount of development that remains to be built in an approved
31 development of regional impact meets the criteria of
32 subparagraph (15)(g)3. A clearance letter may be based solely on
33 the information provided by the developer, and the state land
34 planning agency is not required to conduct an investigation of
35 that information. If any material information provided by the
36 developer is incomplete or inaccurate, the clearance letter is
37 not binding upon the state land planning agency. A clearance
38 letter does not constitute final agency action.
39 (15) LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT ORDER.--
40 (a) The appropriate local government shall render a
41 decision on the application within 30 days after the hearing
42 unless an extension is requested by the developer.
43 (b) When possible, local governments shall issue
44 development orders concurrently with any other local permits or
45 development approvals that may be applicable to the proposed
46 development.
47 (c) The development order shall include findings of fact
48 and conclusions of law consistent with subsections (13) and
49 (14). The development order:
50 1. Shall specify the monitoring procedures and the local
51 official responsible for assuring compliance by the developer
52 with the development order.

53 2. Shall establish compliance dates for the development
54 order, including a deadline for commencing physical development
55 and for compliance with conditions of approval or phasing
56 requirements, and shall include a buildout ~~termination~~ date that
57 reasonably reflects the time anticipated ~~required~~ to complete
58 the development.
59 3. Shall establish a date until which the local government
60 agrees that the approved development of regional impact shall
61 not be subject to downzoning, unit density reduction, or
62 intensity reduction, unless the local government can demonstrate
63 that substantial changes in the conditions underlying the
64 approval of the development order have occurred or the
65 development order was based on substantially inaccurate
66 information provided by the developer or that the change is
67 clearly established by local government to be essential to the
68 public health, safety, or welfare. The date established pursuant
69 to this subparagraph shall be no sooner than the buildout date
70 of the project.
71 4. Shall specify the requirements for the biennial report
72 designated under subsection (18), including the date of
73 submission, parties to whom the report is submitted, and
74 contents of the report, based upon the rules adopted by the
75 state land planning agency. Such rules shall specify the scope
76 of any additional local requirements that may be necessary for
77 the report.
78 5. May specify the types of changes to the development
79 which shall require submission for a substantial deviation
80 determination or a notice of proposed change under subsection
81 (19).
82 6. Shall include a legal description of the property.

83 (d) Conditions of a development order that require a
84 developer to contribute land for a public facility or construct,
85 expand, or pay for land acquisition or construction or expansion
86 of a public facility, or portion thereof, shall meet the
87 following criteria:
88 1. The need to construct new facilities or add to the
89 present system of public facilities must be reasonably
90 attributable to the proposed development.
91 2. Any contribution of funds, land, or public facilities
92 required from the developer shall be comparable to the amount of
93 funds, land, or public facilities that the state or the local
94 government would reasonably expect to expend or provide, based
95 on projected costs of comparable projects, to mitigate the
96 impacts reasonably attributable to the proposed development.
97 3. Any funds or lands contributed must be expressly
98 designated and used to mitigate impacts reasonably attributable
99 to the proposed development.
100 4. Construction or expansion of a public facility by a
101 nongovernmental developer as a condition of a development order
102 to mitigate the impacts reasonably attributable to the proposed
103 development is not subject to competitive bidding or competitive
104 negotiation for selection of a contractor or design professional
105 for any part of the construction or design ~~unless required by~~
106 ~~the local government that issues the development order~~.
107 (e)1. ~~Effective July 1, 1986,~~ A local government shall not
108 include, as a development order condition for a development of
109 regional impact, any requirement that a developer contribute or
110 pay for land acquisition or construction or expansion of public
111 facilities or portions thereof unless the local government has
112 enacted a local ordinance which requires other development not
113 subject to this section to contribute its proportionate share of

114 the funds, land, or public facilities necessary to accommodate
115 any impacts having a rational nexus to the proposed development,
116 and the need to construct new facilities or add to the present
117 system of public facilities must be reasonably attributable to
118 the proposed development.
119 2. A local government shall not approve a development of
120 regional impact that does not make adequate provision for the
121 public facilities needed to accommodate the impacts of the
122 proposed development unless the local government includes in the
123 development order a commitment by the local government to
124 provide these facilities consistently with the development
125 schedule approved in the development order; however, a local
126 government's failure to meet the requirements of subparagraph 1.
127 and this subparagraph shall not preclude the issuance of a
128 development order where adequate provision is made by the
129 developer for the public facilities needed to accommodate the
130 impacts of the proposed development. Any funds or lands
131 contributed by a developer must be expressly designated and used
132 to accommodate impacts reasonably attributable to the proposed
133 development.
134 3. The Department of Community Affairs and other state and
135 regional agencies involved in the administration and
136 implementation of this act shall cooperate and work with units
137 of local government in preparing and adopting local impact fee
138 and other contribution ordinances.
139 (f) Notice of the adoption of a development order or the
140 subsequent amendments to an adopted development order shall be
141 recorded by the developer, in accordance with s. 28.222, with
142 the clerk of the circuit court for each county in which the
143 development is located. The notice shall include a legal
144 description of the property covered by the order and shall state

145 which unit of local government adopted the development order,
146 the date of adoption, the date of adoption of any amendments to
147 the development order, the location where the adopted order with
148 any amendments may be examined, and that the development order
149 constitutes a land development regulation applicable to the
150 property. The recording of this notice shall not constitute a
151 lien, cloud, or encumbrance on real property, or actual or
152 constructive notice of any such lien, cloud, or encumbrance.
153 This paragraph applies only to developments initially approved
154 under this section after July 1, 1980.
155 (g) A local government shall not issue permits for
156 development subsequent to the buildout ~~termination date or~~
157 ~~expiration~~ date contained in the development order unless:
158 1. The proposed development has been evaluated
159 cumulatively with existing development under the substantial
160 deviation provisions of subsection (19) subsequent to the
161 termination or expiration date;
162 2. The proposed development is consistent with an
163 abandonment of development order that has been issued in
164 accordance with the provisions of subsection (26); ~~or~~
165 3. The development of regional impact is essentially built
166 out, in that all the mitigation requirements in the development
167 order have been satisfied, all developers are in compliance with
168 all applicable terms and conditions of the development order
169 except the buildout date, and the amount of proposed development
170 that remains to be built is less than 20 percent of any
171 applicable development-of-regional-impact threshold; or
172 4.~~3.~~ The project has been determined to be an essentially
173 built-out development of regional impact through an agreement
174 executed by the developer, the state land planning agency, and
175 the local government, in accordance with s. 380.032, which will

176 establish the terms and conditions under which the development
177 may be continued. If the project is determined to be essentially
178 built out ~~built-out~~, development may proceed pursuant to the s.
179 380.032 agreement after the termination or expiration date
180 contained in the development order without further development-
181 of-regional-impact review subject to the local government
182 comprehensive plan and land development regulations or subject
183 to a modified development-of-regional-impact analysis. As used
184 in this paragraph, an "essentially built-out" development of
185 regional impact means:
186 a. The developers are ~~development is~~ in compliance with
187 all applicable terms and conditions of the development order
188 except the buildout ~~built-out~~ date; and
189 b.(I) The amount of development that remains to be built
190 is less than the substantial deviation threshold specified in
191 paragraph (19)(b) for each individual land use category, or, for
192 a multiuse development, the sum total of all unbuilt land uses
193 as a percentage of the applicable substantial deviation
194 threshold is equal to or less than 100 percent; or
195 (II) The state land planning agency and the local
196 government have agreed in writing that the amount of development
197 to be built does not create the likelihood of any additional
198 regional impact not previously reviewed.
199
200 In addition to the requirements of subparagraphs 3. and 4., the
201 single-family residential portions of a development may be
202 considered "essentially built out" if all of the infrastructure
203 and horizontal development have been completed, at least 50
204 percent of the dwelling units have been completed, and more than
205 80 percent of the lots have been conveyed to third-party

206 individual lot owners or to individual builders who own no more
207 than 40 lots at the time of the determination.
208 (h) If the property is annexed by another local
209 jurisdiction, the annexing jurisdiction shall adopt a new
210 development order that incorporates all previous rights and
211 obligations specified in the prior development order.
212 (19) SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATIONS.--
213 (a) Any proposed change to a previously approved
214 development which creates a reasonable likelihood of additional
215 regional impact, or any type of regional impact created by the
216 change not previously reviewed by the regional planning agency,
217 shall constitute a substantial deviation and shall cause the
218 proposed change ~~development~~ to be subject to further
219 development-of-regional-impact review. There are a variety of
220 reasons why a developer may wish to propose changes to an
221 approved development of regional impact, including changed
222 market conditions. The procedures set forth in this subsection
223 are for that purpose.
224 (b) Any proposed change to a previously approved
225 development of regional impact or development order condition
226 which, either individually or cumulatively with other changes,
227 exceeds any of the following criteria shall constitute a
228 substantial deviation and shall cause the development to be
229 subject to further development-of-regional-impact review without
230 the necessity for a finding of same by the local government:
231 1. An increase in the number of parking spaces at an
232 attraction or recreational facility by 10 ~~5~~ percent or 330 ~~300~~
233 spaces, whichever is greater, or an increase in the number of
234 spectators that may be accommodated at such a facility by 10 ~~5~~
235 percent or 1,100 ~~1,000~~ spectators, whichever is greater.

236 2. A new runway, a new terminal facility, a 25-percent
237 lengthening of an existing runway, or a 25-percent increase in
238 the number of gates of an existing terminal, but only if the
239 increase adds at least three additional gates.
240 ~~3. An increase in the number of hospital beds by 5 percent~~
241 ~~or 60 beds, whichever is greater.~~
242 3.~~4.~~ An increase in industrial development area by 10 ~~5~~
243 percent or 35 ~~32~~ acres, whichever is greater.
244 4.~~5.~~ An increase in the average annual acreage mined by 10
245 ~~5~~ percent or 11 ~~10~~ acres, whichever is greater, or an increase
246 in the average daily water consumption by a mining operation by
247 10 ~~5~~ percent or 330,000 ~~300,000~~ gallons, whichever is greater.
248 An increase in the size of the mine by 10 ~~5~~ percent or 825 ~~750~~
249 acres, whichever is less. An increase in the size of a heavy
250 mineral mine as defined in s. 378.403(7) will only constitute a
251 substantial deviation if the average annual acreage mined is
252 more than 550 ~~500~~ acres and consumes more than 3.3 ~~3~~ million
253 gallons of water per day.
254 5.~~6.~~ An increase in land area for office development by 10
255 ~~5~~ percent or an increase of gross floor area of office
256 development by 10 ~~5~~ percent or 66,000 ~~60,000~~ gross square feet,
257 whichever is greater.
258 6. An increase of development at a marina of 10 percent of
259 wet storage or for 30 watercraft slips, whichever is greater, or
260 20 percent of wet storage or 60 watercraft slips in an area
261 identified by a local government in a boat facility siting plan
262 as an appropriate site for additional marina development,
263 whichever is greater.
264 ~~7. An increase in the storage capacity for chemical or~~
265 ~~petroleum storage facilities by 5 percent, 20,000 barrels, or 7~~
266 ~~million pounds, whichever is greater.~~

267 ~~8. An increase of development at a waterport of wet~~
268 ~~storage for 20 watercraft, dry storage for 30 watercraft, or~~
269 ~~wet/dry storage for 60 watercraft in an area identified in the~~
270 ~~state marina siting plan as an appropriate site for additional~~
271 ~~waterport development or a 5 percent increase in watercraft~~
272 ~~storage capacity, whichever is greater.~~
273 7.~~9.~~ An increase in the number of dwelling units by 10 ~~5~~
274 percent or 55 ~~50~~ dwelling units, whichever is greater.
275 8. An increase in the number of dwelling units by 15
276 percent or 100 units, whichever is greater, provided that 20
277 percent of the increase in the number of dwelling units is
278 dedicated to the construction of workforce housing. For purposes
279 of this subparagraph, the term "workforce housing" means housing
280 that is affordable to a person who earns less than 120 percent
281 of the area median income.
282 9.~~10.~~ An increase in commercial development by 55,000
283 ~~50,000~~ square feet of gross floor area or of parking spaces
284 provided for customers for 330 ~~300~~ cars or a 10-percent ~~5-~~
285 ~~percent~~ increase of either of these, whichever is greater.
286 10.~~11.~~ An increase in hotel or motel rooms ~~facility units~~
287 by 10 ~~5~~ percent or 83 rooms ~~75 units~~, whichever is greater.
288 11.~~12.~~ An increase in a recreational vehicle park area by
289 10 ~~5~~ percent or 110 ~~100~~ vehicle spaces, whichever is less.
290 12.~~13.~~ A decrease in the area set aside for open space of
291 5 percent or 20 acres, whichever is less.
292 13.~~14.~~ A proposed increase to an approved multiuse
293 development of regional impact where the sum of the increases of
294 each land use as a percentage of the applicable substantial
295 deviation criteria is equal to or exceeds 110 ~~100~~ percent. The
296 percentage of any decrease in the amount of open space shall be

297 treated as an increase for purposes of determining when 110 ~~100~~
298 percent has been reached or exceeded.
299 14.~~15.~~ A 15-percent increase in the number of external
300 vehicle trips generated by the development above that which was
301 projected during the original development-of-regional-impact
302 review.
303 15.~~16.~~ Any change which would result in development of any
304 area which was specifically set aside in the application for
305 development approval or in the development order for
306 preservation or special protection of endangered or threatened
307 plants or animals designated as endangered, threatened, or
308 species of special concern and their habitat, primary dunes, or
309 archaeological and historical sites designated as significant by
310 the Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State.
311 The ~~further~~ refinement of such areas ~~by survey~~ shall be
312 considered under sub-subparagraph (e)2.j. ~~(e)5.b.~~
313
314 The substantial deviation numerical standards in subparagraphs
315 3., 5., 9., 10., and 13. ~~4., 6., 10., 14.~~, excluding residential
316 uses, and in subparagraph 14. ~~15.,~~ are increased by 100 percent
317 for a project certified under s. 403.973 which creates jobs and
318 meets criteria established by the Office of Tourism, Trade, and
319 Economic Development as to its impact on an area's economy,
320 employment, and prevailing wage and skill levels. The
321 substantial deviation numerical standards in subparagraphs 3.,
322 5., 7., 8., 9., 10., 13., and 14. ~~4., 6., 9., 10., 11., and 14.~~
323 are increased by 50 percent for a project located wholly within
324 an urban infill and redevelopment area designated on the
325 applicable adopted local comprehensive plan future land use map
326 and not located within the coastal high hazard area.

327 (c) An extension of the date of buildout of a development,
328 or any phase thereof, by more than 7 ~~or more~~ years shall be
329 presumed to create a substantial deviation subject to further
330 development-of-regional-impact review. An extension of the date
331 of buildout, or any phase thereof, of more than 5 years ~~or more~~
332 but less than 7 years shall be presumed not to create a
333 substantial deviation. The extension of the date of buildout of
334 an areawide development of regional impact by more than 5 years
335 but less than 10 years is presumed not to create a substantial
336 deviation. These presumptions may be rebutted by clear and
337 convincing evidence at the public hearing held by the local
338 government. An extension of 5 years or less ~~than 5 years~~ is not
339 a substantial deviation. For the purpose of calculating when a
340 buildout or~~,~~ phase~~, or termination~~ date has been exceeded, the
341 time shall be tolled during the pendency of administrative or
342 judicial proceedings relating to development permits. Any
343 extension of the buildout date of a project or a phase thereof
344 shall automatically extend the commencement date of the project,
345 the termination date of the development order, the expiration
346 date of the development of regional impact, and the phases
347 thereof if applicable by a like period of time.
348 (d) A change in the plan of development of an approved
349 development of regional impact resulting from requirements
350 imposed by the Department of Environmental Protection or any
351 water management district created by s. 373.069 or any of their
352 successor agencies or by any appropriate federal regulatory
353 agency shall be submitted to the local government pursuant to
354 this subsection. The change shall be presumed not to create a
355 substantial deviation subject to further development-of-
356 regional-impact review. The presumption may be rebutted by clear

357 and convincing evidence at the public hearing held by the local
358 government.
359 (e)1. Except for a development order rendered pursuant to
360 subsection (22) or subsection (25), a proposed change to a
361 development order that individually or cumulatively with any
362 previous change is less than any numerical criterion contained
363 in subparagraphs (b)1.-15. and does not exceed any other
364 criterion, or that involves an extension of the buildout date of
365 a development, or any phase thereof, of less than 5 years is not
366 subject to the public hearing requirements of subparagraph
367 (f)3., and is not subject to a determination pursuant to
368 subparagraph (f)5. Notice of the proposed change shall be made
369 to the regional planning council and the state land planning
370 agency. Such notice shall include a description of previous
371 individual changes made to the development, including changes
372 previously approved by the local government, and shall include
373 appropriate amendments to the development order.
374 2. The following changes, individually or cumulatively
375 with any previous changes, are not substantial deviations:
376 a. Changes in the name of the project, developer, owner,
377 or monitoring official.
378 b. Changes to a setback that do not affect noise buffers,
379 environmental protection or mitigation areas, or archaeological
380 or historical resources.
381 c. Changes to minimum lot sizes.
382 d. Changes in the configuration of internal roads that do
383 not affect external access points.
384 e. Changes to the building design or orientation that stay
385 approximately within the approved area designated for such
386 building and parking lot, and which do not affect historical

387 buildings designated as significant by the Division of
388 Historical Resources of the Department of State.
389 f. Changes to increase the acreage in the development,
390 provided that no development is proposed on the acreage to be
391 added.
392 g. Changes to eliminate an approved land use, provided
393 that there are no additional regional impacts.
394 h. Changes required to conform to permits approved by any
395 federal, state, or regional permitting agency, provided that
396 these changes do not create additional regional impacts.
397 i. Any renovation or redevelopment of development within a
398 previously approved development of regional impact which does
399 not change land use or increase density or intensity of use.
400 j. Changes that modify boundaries described in
401 subparagraph (b)15. due to science-based refinement of such
402 areas by survey, by habitat evaluation, by other recognized
403 assessment methodology, or by an environmental assessment.
404 k.~~j.~~ Any other change which the state land planning agency
405 agrees in writing is similar in nature, impact, or character to
406 the changes enumerated in sub-subparagraphs a.-j. ~~a.-i.~~ and
407 which does not create the likelihood of any additional regional
408 impact.
409
410 This subsection does not require a development order amendment
411 for any change listed in sub-subparagraphs a.-k., but shall,
412 prior to implementation of those changes, require 45 days'
413 notice with the appropriate documentation to the state land
414 planning agency, the regional planning agency, and the local
415 government, and publication of a public notice that meets the
416 local government's criteria for a notice of proposed change. If
417 the state land planning agency, the regional planning agency, or

418 the local government objects within 45 days after publication of
419 the public notice, the change shall require a notice of proposed
420 change and shall be presumed not to be a substantial deviation.
421 In addition, a memorandum of the notification of the changed
422 notice shall be filed with the clerk of the circuit court along
423 with a legal description of the affected development of regional
424 impact. If a subsequent change requiring a notice of proposed
425 change is made to the development of regional impact,
426 modifications to the development of regional impact made in all
427 prior notices must be reflected as amendments to the development
428 order memorandum ~~a. j. unless such issue is addressed either in~~
429 ~~the existing development order or in the application for~~
430 ~~development approval, but, in the case of the application, only~~
431 ~~if, and in the manner in which, the application is incorporated~~
432 ~~in the development order~~.

433 3. Except for the change authorized by sub-subparagraph
434 2.f., any addition of land not previously reviewed or any change
435 not specified in paragraph (b) or paragraph (c) shall be
436 presumed to create a substantial deviation. This presumption may
437 be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.

438 4. Any submittal of a proposed change to a previously
439 approved development shall include a description of individual
440 changes previously made to the development, including changes
441 previously approved by the local government. The local
442 government shall consider the previous and current proposed
443 changes in deciding whether such changes cumulatively constitute
444 a substantial deviation requiring further development-of-
445 regional-impact review.

446 5. The following changes to an approved development of
447 regional impact shall be presumed to create a substantial

448 deviation. Such presumption may be rebutted by clear and
449 convincing evidence.
450 a. A change proposed for 15 percent or more of the acreage
451 to a land use not previously approved in the development order.
452 Changes of less than 15 percent shall be presumed not to create
453 a substantial deviation.
454 ~~b. Except for the types of uses listed in subparagraph~~
455 ~~(b)16., any change which would result in the development of any~~
456 ~~area which was specifically set aside in the application for~~
457 ~~development approval or in the development order for~~
458 ~~preservation, buffers, or special protection, including habitat~~
459 ~~for plant and animal species, archaeological and historical~~
460 ~~sites, dunes, and other special areas.~~
461 b.~~c.~~ Notwithstanding any provision of paragraph (b) to the
462 contrary, a proposed change consisting of simultaneous increases
463 and decreases of at least two of the uses within an authorized
464 multiuse development of regional impact which was originally
465 approved with three or more uses specified in s. 380.0651(3)(c),
466 (d), (f), and (g) and residential use.
467 (f)1. The state land planning agency shall establish by
468 rule standard forms for submittal of proposed changes to a
469 previously approved development of regional impact which may
470 require further development-of-regional-impact review. At a
471 minimum, the standard form shall require the developer to
472 provide the precise language that the developer proposes to
473 delete or add as an amendment to the development order.
474 2. The developer shall submit, simultaneously, to the
475 local government, the regional planning agency, and the state
476 land planning agency the request for approval of a proposed
477 change.

478 3. No sooner than 30 days but no later than 45 days after
479 submittal by the developer to the local government, the state
480 land planning agency, and the appropriate regional planning
481 agency, the local government shall give 15 days' notice and
482 schedule a public hearing to consider the change that the
483 developer asserts does not create a substantial deviation. This
484 public hearing shall be held within 60 ~~90~~ days after submittal
485 of the proposed changes, unless that time is extended by the
486 developer.

487 4. The appropriate regional planning agency or the state
488 land planning agency shall review the proposed change and, no
489 later than 45 days after submittal by the developer of the
490 proposed change, unless that time is extended by the developer,
491 and prior to the public hearing at which the proposed change is
492 to be considered, shall advise the local government in writing
493 whether it objects to the proposed change, shall specify the
494 reasons for its objection, if any, and shall provide a copy to
495 the developer.

496 5. At the public hearing, the local government shall
497 determine whether the proposed change requires further
498 development-of-regional-impact review. The provisions of
499 paragraphs (a) and (e), the thresholds set forth in paragraph
500 (b), and the presumptions set forth in paragraphs (c) and (d)
501 and subparagraph (e)3. shall be applicable in determining
502 whether further development-of-regional-impact review is
503 required.

504 6. If the local government determines that the proposed
505 change does not require further development-of-regional-impact
506 review and is otherwise approved, or if the proposed change is
507 not subject to a hearing and determination pursuant to
508 subparagraphs 3. and 5. and is otherwise approved, the local

509 government shall issue an amendment to the development order
510 incorporating the approved change and conditions of approval
511 relating to the change. The decision of the local government to
512 approve, with or without conditions, or to deny the proposed
513 change that the developer asserts does not require further
514 review shall be subject to the appeal provisions of s. 380.07.
515 However, the state land planning agency may not appeal the local
516 government decision if it did not comply with subparagraph 4.
517 The state land planning agency may not appeal a change to a
518 development order made pursuant to subparagraph (e)1. or
519 subparagraph (e)2. for developments of regional impact approved
520 after January 1, 1980, unless the change would result in a
521 significant impact to a regionally significant archaeological,
522 historical, or natural resource not previously identified in the
523 original development-of-regional-impact review.
524 (g) If a proposed change requires further development-of-
525 regional-impact review pursuant to this section, the review
526 shall be conducted subject to the following additional
527 conditions:
528 1. The development-of-regional-impact review conducted by
529 the appropriate regional planning agency shall address only
530 those issues raised by the proposed change except as provided in
531 subparagraph 2.
532 2. The regional planning agency shall consider, and the
533 local government shall determine whether to approve, approve
534 with conditions, or deny the proposed change as it relates to
535 the entire development. If the local government determines that
536 the proposed change, as it relates to the entire development, is
537 unacceptable, the local government shall deny the change.
538 3. If the local government determines that the proposed
539 change~~, as it relates to the entire development,~~ should be

540 approved, any new conditions in the amendment to the development
541 order issued by the local government shall address only those
542 issues raised by the proposed change and require mitigation only
543 for the individual and cumulative impacts of the proposed
544 change.
545 4. Development within the previously approved development
546 of regional impact may continue, as approved, during the
547 development-of-regional-impact review in those portions of the
548 development which are not directly affected by the proposed
549 change.
550 (h) When further development-of-regional-impact review is
551 required because a substantial deviation has been determined or
552 admitted by the developer, the amendment to the development
553 order issued by the local government shall be consistent with
554 the requirements of subsection (15) and shall be subject to the
555 hearing and appeal provisions of s. 380.07. The state land
556 planning agency or the appropriate regional planning agency need
557 not participate at the local hearing in order to appeal a local
558 government development order issued pursuant to this paragraph.
559 (24) STATUTORY EXEMPTIONS.--
560 (a) Any proposed hospital ~~which has a designed capacity of~~
561 ~~not more than 100 beds~~ is exempt from the provisions of this
562 section.
563 (b) Any proposed electrical transmission line or
564 electrical power plant is exempt from the provisions of this
565 section~~, except any steam or solar electrical generating~~
566 ~~facility of less than 50 megawatts in capacity attached to a~~
567 ~~development of regional impact~~.
568 (c) Any proposed addition to an existing sports facility
569 complex is exempt from the provisions of this section if the
570 addition meets the following characteristics:

571 1. It would not operate concurrently with the scheduled
572 hours of operation of the existing facility.
573 2. Its seating capacity would be no more than 75 percent
574 of the capacity of the existing facility.
575 3. The sports facility complex property is owned by a
576 public body prior to July 1, 1983.
577
578 This exemption does not apply to any pari-mutuel facility.
579 (d) Any proposed addition or cumulative additions
580 subsequent to July 1, 1988, to an existing sports facility
581 complex owned by a state university is exempt if the increased
582 seating capacity of the complex is no more than 30 percent of
583 the capacity of the existing facility.
584 (e) Any addition of permanent seats or parking spaces for
585 an existing sports facility located on property owned by a
586 public body prior to July 1, 1973, is exempt from the provisions
587 of this section if future additions do not expand existing
588 permanent seating or parking capacity more than 15 percent
589 annually in excess of the prior year's capacity.
590 (f) Any increase in the seating capacity of an existing
591 sports facility having a permanent seating capacity of at least
592 50,000 spectators is exempt from the provisions of this section,
593 provided that such an increase does not increase permanent
594 seating capacity by more than 5 percent per year and not to
595 exceed a total of 10 percent in any 5-year period, and provided
596 that the sports facility notifies the appropriate local
597 government within which the facility is located of the increase
598 at least 6 months prior to the initial use of the increased
599 seating, in order to permit the appropriate local government to
600 develop a traffic management plan for the traffic generated by
601 the increase. Any traffic management plan shall be consistent

602 with the local comprehensive plan, the regional policy plan, and
603 the state comprehensive plan.
604 (g) Any expansion in the permanent seating capacity or
605 additional improved parking facilities of an existing sports
606 facility is exempt from the provisions of this section, if the
607 following conditions exist:
608 1.a. The sports facility had a permanent seating capacity
609 on January 1, 1991, of at least 41,000 spectator seats;
610 b. The sum of such expansions in permanent seating
611 capacity does not exceed a total of 10 percent in any 5-year
612 period and does not exceed a cumulative total of 20 percent for
613 any such expansions; or
614 c. The increase in additional improved parking facilities
615 is a one-time addition and does not exceed 3,500 parking spaces
616 serving the sports facility; and
617 2. The local government having jurisdiction of the sports
618 facility includes in the development order or development permit
619 approving such expansion under this paragraph a finding of fact
620 that the proposed expansion is consistent with the
621 transportation, water, sewer and stormwater drainage provisions
622 of the approved local comprehensive plan and local land
623 development regulations relating to those provisions.
624
625 Any owner or developer who intends to rely on this statutory
626 exemption shall provide to the department a copy of the local
627 government application for a development permit. Within 45 days
628 of receipt of the application, the department shall render to
629 the local government an advisory and nonbinding opinion, in
630 writing, stating whether, in the department's opinion, the
631 prescribed conditions exist for an exemption under this
632 paragraph. The local government shall render the development

633 order approving each such expansion to the department. The
634 owner, developer, or department may appeal the local government
635 development order pursuant to s. 380.07, within 45 days after
636 the order is rendered. The scope of review shall be limited to
637 the determination of whether the conditions prescribed in this
638 paragraph exist. If any sports facility expansion undergoes
639 development of regional impact review, all previous expansions
640 which were exempt under this paragraph shall be included in the
641 development of regional impact review.
642 (h) Expansion to port harbors, spoil disposal sites,
643 navigation channels, turning basins, harbor berths, and other
644 related inwater harbor facilities of ports listed in s.
645 403.021(9)(b), port transportation facilities and projects
646 listed in s. 311.07(3)(b), and intermodal transportation
647 facilities identified pursuant to s. 311.09(3) are exempt from
648 the provisions of this section when such expansions, projects,
649 or facilities are consistent with comprehensive master plans
650 that are in compliance with the provisions of s. 163.3178.
651 (i) Any proposed facility for the storage of any petroleum
652 product or any expansion of an existing facility is exempt from
653 the provisions of this section, if the facility is consistent
654 with a local comprehensive plan that is in compliance with s.
655 163.3177 or is consistent with a comprehensive port master plan
656 that is in compliance with s. 163.3178.
657 (j) Any renovation or redevelopment within the same land
658 parcel which does not change land use or increase density or
659 intensity of use.
660 (k)1. Any waterport or marina development is exempt from
661 the provisions of this section if the relevant county or
662 municipality has adopted a boating facility siting plan or
663 policy, which includes applicable criteria, considering such

664 factors as natural resources, manatee protection needs, and
665 recreation and economic demands ~~as generally outlined in the~~
666 ~~Bureau of Protected Species Management Boat Facility Siting~~
667 ~~Guide, dated August 2000~~, into the coastal management or land
668 use element of its comprehensive plan. The adoption of boating
669 facility siting plans or policies into the comprehensive plan is
670 exempt from the provisions of s. 163.3187(1). Any waterport or
671 marina development within the municipalities or counties with
672 boating facility siting plans or policies that meet the above
673 criteria~~, adopted prior to April 1, 2002,~~ are exempt from the
674 provisions of this section, when their boating facility siting
675 plan or policy is adopted as part of the relevant local
676 government's comprehensive plan.
677 2. ~~Within 6 months of the effective date of this law,~~ The
678 Department of Community Affairs, in conjunction with the
679 Department of Environmental Protection and the Florida Fish and
680 Wildlife Conservation Commission, shall provide technical
681 assistance and guidelines, including model plans, policies and
682 criteria to local governments for the development of their
683 siting plans.
684 (1) Any proposed development within an urban service
685 boundary established under s. 163.3177(14) is exempt from the
686 provisions of this section if the local government having
687 jurisdiction over the area where the development is proposed has
688 adopted the urban service boundary, ~~and~~ has entered into a
689 binding agreement with ~~adjacent~~ jurisdictions that would be
690 impacted and with the Department of Transportation regarding the
691 mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation
692 facilities, and has adopted a proportionate share methodology
693 pursuant to s. 163.3180(16).

694 (m) Any proposed development within a rural land
695 stewardship area created under s. 163.3177(11)(d) is exempt from
696 the provisions of this section if the local government that has
697 adopted the rural land stewardship area has entered into a
698 binding agreement with jurisdictions that would be impacted and
699 the Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of
700 impacts on state and regional transportation facilities, and has
701 adopted a proportionate share methodology pursuant to s.
702 163.3180(16).
703 (n) Any proposed development or redevelopment within an
704 area designated as an urban infill and redevelopment area under
705 s. 163.2517 is exempt from ~~the provisions of~~ this section if the
706 local government has entered into a binding agreement with
707 jurisdictions that would be impacted and the Department of
708 Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and
709 regional transportation facilities, and has adopted a
710 proportionate share methodology pursuant to s. 163.3180(16).
711 (o) The establishment, relocation, or expansion of any
712 military installation as defined in s. 163.3175, is exempt from
713 this section.
714 (p) Any self-storage warehousing that does not allow
715 retail or other services is exempt from this section.
716 (q) Any proposed nursing home or assisted living facility
717 is exempt from this section.
718 (r) Any development identified in an airport master plan
719 and adopted into the comprehensive plan pursuant to s.
720 163.3177(6)(k) is exempt from this section.
721 (s) Any development identified in a campus master plan and
722 adopted pursuant to s. 1013.30 is exempt from this section.

723 (t) Any development in a specific area plan which is
724 prepared pursuant to s. 163.3245 and adopted into the
725 comprehensive plan is exempt from this section.
726
727 If a use is exempt from review as a development of regional
728 impact under paragraphs (a)-(t) but will be part of a larger
729 project that is subject to review as a development of regional
730 impact, the impact of the exempt use must be included in the
731 review of the larger project.
732 (28) PARTIAL STATUTORY EXEMPTIONS.--
733 (a) If the binding agreement referenced under paragraph
734 (24)(l) for urban service boundaries is not entered into within
735 12 months after establishment of the urban service boundary, the
736 development-of-regional-impact review for projects within the
737 urban service boundary must address transportation impacts only.
738 (b) If the binding agreement referenced under paragraph
739 (24)(n) for designated urban infill and redevelopment areas is
740 not entered into within 12 months after the designation of the
741 area or July 1, 2007, whichever occurs later, the development-
742 of-regional-impact review for projects within the urban infill
743 and redevelopment area must address transportation impacts only.
744 (c) A local government that does not wish to enter into a
745 binding agreement or that is unable to agree on the terms of the
746 agreement referenced under paragraph (24)(l) or paragraph
747 (24)(n) shall provide written notification to the state land
748 planning agency of the failure to enter into a binding agreement
749 within the 12-month period referenced in paragraphs (a) and (b).
750 Following the notification of the state land planning agency,
751 the development-of-regional-impact review for projects within
752 the urban service boundary under paragraph (24)(l) or for an

753 urban infill and redevelopment area under paragraph (24)(n) must
754 address transportation impacts only.
755 Section 2. Paragraphs (d) and (e) of subsection (3) of
756 section 380.0651, Florida Statutes, are amended, paragraph (k)
757 of subsection (3) is redesignated as paragraph (l), and a new
758 paragraph (k) is added to that subsection, to read:
759 380.0651 Statewide guidelines and standards.--
760 (3) The following statewide guidelines and standards shall
761 be applied in the manner described in s. 380.06(2) to determine
762 whether the following developments shall be required to undergo
763 development-of-regional-impact review:
764 (d) Office development.--Any proposed office building or
765 park operated under common ownership, development plan, or
766 management that:
767 1. Encompasses 300,000 or more square feet of gross floor
768 area; or
769 2. Encompasses more than 600,000 square feet of gross
770 floor area in a county with a population greater than 500,000
771 and only in a geographic area specifically designated as highly
772 suitable for increased threshold intensity in the approved local
773 comprehensive plan ~~and in the strategic regional policy plan~~.
774 (e) Marinas and port facilities.--The proposed
775 construction of any waterport or marina is required to undergo
776 development-of-regional-impact review if it is~~, except one~~
777 designed for:
778 1.a. The wet storage or mooring of more ~~fewer~~ than 150
779 watercraft used ~~exclusively~~ for sport, pleasure, or commercial
780 fishing;~~,~~ or
781 ~~b. The dry storage of fewer than 200 watercraft used~~
782 ~~exclusively for sport, pleasure, or commercial fishing, or~~

783 b.~~c.~~ The wet ~~or dry~~ storage or mooring of more ~~fewer~~ than
784 150 watercraft on or adjacent to an inland freshwater lake
785 except Lake Okeechobee or any lake that ~~which~~ has been
786 designated an Outstanding Florida Water.~~, or~~
787 ~~d. The wet or dry storage or mooring of fewer than 50~~
788 ~~watercraft of 40 feet in length or less of any type or purpose.~~
789
790 The numeric thresholds contained in this subparagraph shall be
791 doubled for proposed marina developers who enter into a binding
792 commitment with the local government to set aside at least 15
793 percent of the wet storage or moorings for public use or rental.
794 2. The subthreshold exceptions to this paragraph's
795 requirements for development-of-regional-impact review do ~~shall~~
796 not apply to any waterport or marina facility located within or
797 which serves physical development located within a coastal
798 barrier resource unit on an unbridged barrier island designated
799 pursuant to 16 U.S.C. s. 3501.
800
801 In addition to the foregoing, for projects for which no
802 environmental resource permit or sovereign submerged land lease
803 is required, the Department of Environmental Protection must
804 determine in writing that a proposed marina in excess of 75 ~~10~~
805 slips or storage spaces or a combination of the two is located
806 so that it will not adversely impact Outstanding Florida Waters
807 or Class II waters and will not contribute boat traffic in a
808 manner that will have an adverse impact on an area known to be,
809 or likely to be, frequented by manatees. If the Department of
810 Environmental Protection fails to issue its determination within
811 45 days after ~~of~~ receipt of a formal written request, it has
812 waived its authority to make such determination. The Department

813 of Environmental Protection determination shall constitute final
814 agency action pursuant to chapter 120.
815 ~~2. The dry storage of fewer than 300 watercraft used~~
816 ~~exclusively for sport, pleasure, or commercial fishing at a~~
817 ~~marina constructed and in operation prior to July 1, 1985.~~
818 ~~3. Any proposed marina development with both wet and dry~~
819 ~~mooring or storage used exclusively for sport, pleasure, or~~
820 ~~commercial fishing, where the sum of percentages of the~~
821 ~~applicable wet and dry mooring or storage thresholds equals 100~~
822 ~~percent. This threshold is in addition to, and does not~~
823 ~~preclude, a development from being required to undergo~~
824 ~~development of regional impact review under sub-subparagraphs~~
825 ~~1.a. and b. and subparagraph 2.~~
826 (k) Workforce housing.--The applicable guidelines for
827 residential development and the residential component for
828 multiuse development shall be increased by 20 percent where the
829 developer demonstrates that at least 15 percent of the
830 residential dwelling units will be dedicated to workforce
831 housing. For purposes of this subparagraph, the term "workforce
832 housing" means housing that is affordable to a person who earns
833 less than 120 percent of the area median income.
834 (l) ~~(k)~~ Schools.--
835 1. The proposed construction of any public, private, or
836 proprietary postsecondary educational campus which provides for
837 a design population of more than 5,000 full-time equivalent
838 students, or the proposed physical expansion of any public,
839 private, or proprietary postsecondary educational campus having
840 such a design population that would increase the population by
841 at least 20 percent of the design population.
842 2. As used in this paragraph, "full-time equivalent
843 student" means enrollment for 15 or more quarter hours during a

844 single academic semester. In career centers or other
845 institutions which do not employ semester hours or quarter hours
846 in accounting for student participation, enrollment for 18
847 contact hours shall be considered equivalent to one quarter
848 hour, and enrollment for 27 contact hours shall be considered
849 equivalent to one semester hour.
850 3. This paragraph does not apply to institutions which are
851 the subject of a campus master plan adopted by the university
852 board of trustees pursuant to s. 1013.30.
853 Section 3. Section 380.07, Florida Statutes, is amended to
854 read:
855 380.07 Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission.--
856 (1) There is hereby created the Florida Land and Water
857 Adjudicatory Commission, which shall consist of the
858 Administration Commission. The commission may adopt rules
859 necessary to ensure compliance with the area of critical state
860 concern program and the requirements for developments of
861 regional impact as set forth in this chapter.
862 (2) Whenever any local government issues any development
863 order in any area of critical state concern, or in regard to any
864 development of regional impact, copies of such orders as
865 prescribed by rule by the state land planning agency shall be
866 transmitted to the state land planning agency, the regional
867 planning agency, and the owner or developer of the property
868 affected by such order. The state land planning agency shall
869 adopt rules describing development order rendition and
870 effectiveness in designated areas of critical state concern.
871 Within 45 days after the order is rendered, the owner, the
872 developer, or the state land planning agency may appeal the
873 order to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission by
874 filing a <u>petition alleging that the development order is not</u>

875 consistent with the provisions of this part ~~notice of appeal~~
876 ~~with the commission~~. The appropriate regional planning agency by
877 vote at a regularly scheduled meeting may recommend that the
878 state land planning agency undertake an appeal of a development-
879 of-regional-impact development order. Upon the request of an
880 appropriate regional planning council, affected local
881 government, or any citizen, the state land planning agency shall
882 consider whether to appeal the order and shall respond to the
883 request within the 45-day appeal period. ~~Any appeal taken by a~~
884 ~~regional planning agency between March 1, 1993, and the~~
885 ~~effective date of this section may only be continued if the~~
886 ~~state land planning agency has also filed an appeal. Any appeal~~
887 ~~initiated by a regional planning agency on or before March 1,~~
888 ~~1993, shall continue until completion of the appeal process and~~
889 ~~any subsequent appellate review, as if the regional planning~~
890 ~~agency were authorized to initiate the appeal.~~
891 (3) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, an appeal
892 of a development order by the state land planning agency under
893 this section may include consistency of the development order
894 with the local comprehensive plan. However, if a development
895 order relating to a development of regional impact has been
896 challenged in a proceeding under s. 163.3215 and a party to the
897 proceeding serves notice to the state land planning agency of
898 the pending proceeding under s. 163.3215, the state land
899 planning agency shall:
900 (a) Raise its consistency issues by intervening as a full
901 party in the pending proceeding under s. 163.3215 within 30 days
902 after service of the notice; and
903 (b) Dismiss the consistency issues from the development
904 order appeal.

905 (4) The appellant shall furnish a copy of the petition to
906 the opposing party, as the case may be, and to the local
907 government that issued the order. The filing of the petition
908 stays the effectiveness of the order until after the completion
909 of the appeal process.

910 (5) ~~(3)~~ The 45-day appeal period for a development of
911 regional impact within the jurisdiction of more than one local
912 government shall not commence until after all the local
913 governments having jurisdiction over the proposed development of
914 regional impact have rendered their development orders. The
915 appellant shall furnish a copy of the notice of appeal to the
916 opposing party, as the case may be, and to the local government
917 which issued the order. The filing of the notice of appeal shall
918 stay the effectiveness of the order until after the completion
919 of the appeal process.

920 (6) ~~(4)~~ Prior to issuing an order, the Florida Land and
921 Water Adjudicatory Commission shall hold a hearing pursuant to
922 the provisions of chapter 120. The commission shall encourage
923 the submission of appeals on the record made below in cases in
924 which the development order was issued after a full and complete
925 hearing before the local government or an agency thereof.

926 (7) ~~(5)~~ The Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission
927 shall issue a decision granting or denying permission to develop
928 pursuant to the standards of this chapter and may attach
929 conditions and restrictions to its decisions.

930 ~~(6) If an appeal is filed with respect to any issues~~
931 ~~within the scope of a permitting program authorized by chapter~~
932 ~~161, chapter 373, or chapter 403 and for which a permit or~~
933 ~~conceptual review approval has been obtained prior to the~~
934 ~~issuance of a development order, any such issue shall be~~
935 ~~specifically identified in the notice of appeal which is filed~~

936 ~~pursuant to this section, together with other issues which~~
937 ~~constitute grounds for the appeal. The appeal may proceed with~~
938 ~~respect to issues within the scope of permitting programs for~~
939 ~~which a permit or conceptual review approval has been obtained~~
940 ~~prior to the issuance of a development order only after the~~
941 ~~commission determines by majority vote at a regularly scheduled~~
942 ~~commission meeting that statewide or regional interests may be~~
943 ~~adversely affected by the development. In making this~~
944 ~~determination, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that~~
945 ~~statewide and regional interests relating to issues within the~~
946 ~~scope of the permitting programs for which a permit or~~
947 ~~conceptual approval has been obtained are not adversely~~
948 ~~affected.~~
949 Section 4. Section 380.115, Florida Statutes, is amended
950 to read:
951 380.115 Vested rights and duties; effect of size
952 reduction, changes in guidelines and standards ~~chs. 2002-20 and~~
953 ~~2002-296~~.--
954 (1) A change in a development-of-regional-impact guideline
955 and standard does not abridge ~~Nothing contained in this act~~
956 ~~abridges~~ or modify ~~modifies~~ any vested or other right or any
957 duty or obligation pursuant to any development order or
958 agreement that is applicable to a development of regional impact
959 ~~on the effective date of this act~~. A development that has
960 received a development-of-regional-impact development order
961 pursuant to s. 380.06, but is no longer required to undergo
962 development-of-regional-impact review by operation of a change
963 in the guidelines and standards or has reduced its size below
964 the thresholds in s. 380.0651 ~~of this act~~, shall be governed by
965 the following procedures:

966 (a) The development shall continue to be governed by the
967 development-of-regional-impact development order and may be
968 completed in reliance upon and pursuant to the development order
969 unless the developer or landowner has followed the procedures
970 for rescission in paragraph (b). The development-of-regional-
971 impact development order may be enforced by the local government
972 as provided by ss. 380.06(17) and 380.11.
973 (b) If requested by the developer or landowner, the
974 development-of-regional-impact development order shall ~~may~~ be
975 rescinded by the local government having jurisdiction upon a
976 showing that all required mitigation related to the amount of
977 development that existed on the date of rescission has been
978 completed ~~abandoned pursuant to the process in s. 380.06(26)~~.
979 (2) A development with an application for development
980 approval pending, ~~and determined sufficient~~ pursuant to s.
981 380.06 ~~s. 380.06(10)~~, on the effective date of a change to the
982 guidelines and standards ~~this act~~, or a notification of proposed
983 change pending on the effective date of a change to the
984 guidelines and standards ~~this act~~, may elect to continue such
985 review pursuant to s. 380.06. At the conclusion of the pending
986 review, including any appeals pursuant to s. 380.07, the
987 resulting development order shall be governed by the provisions
988 of subsection (1).
989 (3) A landowner that has filed an application for a
990 development-of-regional-impact review prior to the adoption of
991 an optional sector plan pursuant to s. 163.3245 may elect to
992 have the application reviewed pursuant to s. 380.06,
993 comprehensive plan provisions in force prior to adoption of the
994 sector plan, and any requested comprehensive plan amendments
995 that accompany the application.

996 Section 5. Section 342.07, Florida Statutes, is amended to
997 read:
998 342.07 Recreational and commercial working waterfronts;
999 legislative findings; definitions.--
1000 (1) The Legislature recognizes that there is an important
1001 state interest in facilitating boating and other recreational
1002 access to the state's navigable waters. This access is vital to
1003 tourists and recreational users and the marine industry in the
1004 state, to maintaining or enhancing the $57 billion economic
1005 impact of tourism and the $14 billion economic impact of boating
1006 in the state annually, and to ensuring continued access to all
1007 residents and visitors to the navigable waters of the state. The
1008 Legislature recognizes that there is an important state interest
1009 in maintaining viable water-dependent support facilities, such
1010 as public lodging establishments and boat hauling and repairing
1011 and commercial fishing facilities, and in maintaining the
1012 availability of public access to the navigable waters of the
1013 state. The Legislature further recognizes that the waterways of
1014 the state are important for engaging in commerce and the
1015 transportation of goods and people upon such waterways and that
1016 such commerce and transportation is not feasible unless there is
1017 access to and from the navigable waters of the state through
1018 recreational and commercial working waterfronts.
1019 (2) As used in this section, the term "recreational and
1020 commercial working waterfront" means a parcel or parcels of real
1021 property that provide access for water-dependent commercial and
1022 recreational activities, including public lodging establishments
1023 as defined in chapter 509, or provide access for the public to
1024 the navigable waters of the state. Recreational and commercial
1025 working waterfronts require direct access to or a location on,
1026 over, or adjacent to a navigable body of water. The term

1027 includes water-dependent facilities that are open to the public
1028 and offer public access by vessels to the waters of the state or
1029 that are support facilities for recreational, commercial,
1030 research, or governmental vessels. These facilities include
1031 docks, wharfs, lifts, wet and dry marinas, boat ramps, boat
1032 hauling and repair facilities, commercial fishing facilities,
1033 boat construction facilities, and other support structures over
1034 the water. As used in this section, the term "vessel" has the
1035 same meaning as in s. 327.02(37). Seaports are excluded from the
1036 definition.
1037 Section 6. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.
1038
1039 ========== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========
1040 Remove the entire title and insert:
1041 A bill to be entitled
1042 An act relating to growth management; amending s. 380.06,
1043 F.S.; providing for the state land planning agency to
1044 determine the amount of development that remains to be
1045 built in certain circumstances; specifying certain
1046 requirements for a development order; revising the
1047 circumstances in which a local government may issue
1048 permits for development subsequent to the buildout date;
1049 revising the definition of an essentially built-out
1050 development; revising the criteria under which a proposed
1051 change constitutes a substantial deviation; clarifying the
1052 criteria under which the extension of a buildout date is
1053 presumed to create a substantial deviation; requiring
1054 notice of any change to certain set-aside areas be
1055 submitted to the local government; requiring that notice
1056 of certain changes be given to the state land planning
1057 agency, regional planning agency, and local government;

1058 requiring 45 days' notice to specified entities and
1059 publication of a public notice for certain proposed
1060 changes; requiring that a memorandum of notice of certain
1061 changes be filed with the clerk of court; revising the
1062 requirement for further development-of-regional-impact
1063 review of a proposed change; requiring the state land
1064 planning agency to initiate rulemaking to revise the
1065 development-of-regional-impact application of development
1066 approval form; revising the statutory exemptions from
1067 development-of-regional-impact review for certain
1068 facilities; providing statutory exemptions for the
1069 development of certain facilities; providing that the
1070 impacts from an exempt use that will be part of a larger
1071 project be included in the development-of-regional-impact
1072 review of the larger project; amending s. 380.0651, F.S.;
1073 revising the statewide guidelines and standards for
1074 development-of-regional-impact review of certain types of
1075 developments; allowing the state land planning agency to
1076 consider the impacts of independent developments of
1077 regional impact cumulatively under certain circumstances;
1078 amending s. 380.07, F.S.; eliminating the appeal of
1079 development orders within a development of regional impact
1080 to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission;
1081 amending s. 380.115, F.S.; providing that a change in a
1082 development-of-regional-impact guideline and standard does
1083 not abridge or modify any vested right or duty under a
1084 development order; providing a process for the rescission
1085 of a development order by the local government in certain
1086 circumstances; providing an exemption for certain
1087 applications for development approval and notices of
1088 proposed changes; amending s. 342.07, F.S.; adding

1089 recreational activities as an important state interest;
1090 including public lodging establishments within the
1091 definition of the term "recreational and commercial
1092 working waterfront"; providing an effective date.
1093

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 703 : Municipal Annexation

[X] *Favorable*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

William G. Babcock - Proponent
Evatone, Inc.
4801 Ulmerton Road
Clearwater FL 33762
Phone: 727-572-7000

Carl Evans - Information Only
Evatone, Inc.
4801 Ulmerton Road
Clearwater FL 33762
Phone: 727-385-5151

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 757 : Polk County

[X] *Favorable*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 823 : Local Government Infrastructure Surtax

[X] *Temporarily Deferred*

Appearances:

John W. Smith (Lobbyist) - Proponent
Florida League of Cities
301 S Bronough Street
Tallahassee FL 32301
Phone: 850-222-9684

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 847 : City of Jacksonville, Duval County

[X] *Favorable*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

Brad Thoburn (Lobbyist) - Proponent
City of Jacksonville
117 West Duval Street
Jacksonville FL 32202
Phone: 904-630-2851

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 891 : Local Occupational License Taxes

[X] *Favorable*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

John W. Smith (Lobbyist) - Proponent
Florida League of Cities
301 S Bronough Street
Tallahassee FL 32301
Phone: 850-222-9684

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 921 : Pinellas County Water and Navigation Control Authority

[X] *Favorable With Committee Substitute*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

Kurt Spitzer (Lobbyist) - Proponent
719 E Park Avenue
Tallahassee FL 32301
Phone: 850-561-0904

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. 1

Bill No. 921

COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION

ADOPTED	__	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	__	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	✓	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	__	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	__	(Y/N)
OTHER	____	

---

1 Council/Committee hearing bill: Local Government
2 Representative Berfield offered the following:
3
4 **Amendment (with title amendment)**
5 Remove line 14 and insert:
6 Laws of Florida, 1955, section 3 of chapter 74-588, Laws of
7 Florida, and chapters 72-664, 78-602, 81-
8
9 ========== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========
10 Remove line 4 and insert:
11 Laws of Florida, 1955, section 3 of chapter 74-588, Laws of
12 Florida, and chapters 72-664, 78-

000000

h921.amd.lgc.1

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 923 : Troup-Indiantown Water Control District, Martin County

[X] *Favorable*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

James Watt (Lobbyist) - Proponent
Troup Indiantown Water Control District
324 Royal Palm Way
Palm Beach FL 33480
Phone: 561-655-0620

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 925 : Pinellas County Tourist Development Council, Pinellas County

[X] *Favorable*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 927 : Mosquito Control District of Pinellas County

[X] *Favorable*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

Kurt Spitzer (Lobbyist) - Proponent
Pinellas County Charter Review Commission
719 E Park Avenue
Tallahassee FL 32301
Phone: 850-561-0904

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 929 : Pinellas County

[X] *Favorable*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

Kurt Spitzer (Lobbyist) - Proponent
Pinellas County Charter Review Commission
719 E Park Avenue
Tallahassee FL 32301
Phone: 850-561-0904

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 935 : Temporary Buildings

[X] *Favorable With Committee Substitute*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

Hayden Dempsey - Proponent
Williams/Scotman
101 E College Avenue
Tallahassee FL 32201
Phone: 850-222-6891

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. 1

Bill No. **935**

COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION

ADOPTED	__	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	__	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	✓	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	__	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	__	(Y/N)
OTHER	____	

---

1 Council/Committee hearing bill: Local Government
2 Representative Benson offered the following:
3
4 **Amendment (with title amendment)**
5 Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:
6 Section 1. Subsection (12) is added to section 553.37,
7 Florida Statutes, to read:
8 553.37 Rules; inspections; and insignia.--
9 (12) Modular buildings, manufactured buildings, and
10 factory-built buildings, regardless of the occupancy type, which
11 meet the requirements of this part and do not exceed a maximum
12 of twenty-four (24) months occupancy from date of certificate of
13 occupancy, shall be considered temporary. The certificate of
14 occupancy for a temporary building may be extended for an
15 additional twenty-four (24) months. Such temporary buildings
16 shall use a temporary foundation design that meets or exceeds
17 the wind load capacity of the building and the soil bearing
18 capacity of the building location and shall be exempt from soil
19 and foundation requirements of the Florida Building Code. If the
20 occupancy length is greater than forty-eight (48) months, or the
21 building is located in a flood zone area, then these buildings
22 shall be considered permanent structures and shall comply with

23 all requirements of the Florida Building Code, unless otherwise
24 exempted.
25 Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.
26
27 ========== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========
28 Remove the entire title and insert:
29
30 An act relating to temporary buildings; amending s.
31 553.37, F.S.; considering certain buildings as temporary;
32 providing foundation requirements for such buildings;
33 exempting such buildings from soil and foundation
34 requirements of the Florida Building Code; providing an
35 exception; providing an effective date.
36

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 939 : Local Government

[X] *Favorable With Committee Substitute*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

Jess McCarty (Lobbyist) - Opponent
Miami-Dade County
111 NW 1st Street
Miami FL 33128
Phone: 305-375-1634

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

Bill No. **939**

COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION

ADOPTED	__	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	__	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	__	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	__	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	__	(Y/N)
OTHER	____	

---

1 Council/Committee hearing bill: Local Government
2 Representative Rivera offered the following:
3
4 **Amendment (with title amendment)**
5 Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:
6 Section 1. No county shall require that any municipality
7 formed since January 1, 2000, be required to pay any charge,
8 assessment, tax, fee, or other consideration as a condition for
9 allowing the citizens of an area within the county to
10 incorporate and self-govern.
11 Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.
12 ========== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========
13 Remove the entire title and insert:
14 A bill to be entitled
15 An act relating to municipal incorporation; prohibiting counties
16 from imposing certain fees or taxes on certain municipalities;
17 providing an effective date.

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 1021 : Lealman Special Fire Control District, Pinellas County

[X] *Favorable*

	Yea	*Nay*	*No Vote*	*Absentee Yea*	*Absentee Nay*
Thomas Anderson	X				
Mike Davis	X				
Terry Fields	X				
D. Alan Hays	X				
Matthew Meadows	X				
Julio Robaina	X				
Yolly Roberson	X				
Ken Sorensen (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	**Total Nays: 0**			

Appearances:

Jim Millican - Proponent
Lealman Fire District
4017-56 Avenue N
St. Petersburg FL 33714
Phone: 727-481-2852

David Ramba (Lobbyist) - Proponent
Lealman Fire Control District
P. O. Box 10788
Tallahassee FL 32302
Phone: 850-222-5702

Herb Polson (Lobbyist) - Opponent
City of St. Petersburg
P. O. Box 2842
St. Petersburg FL 33731
Phone: 727-893-7628

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

Summary:

Local Government Council

Wednesday March 08, 2006 01:00 pm

HB 143	Temporarily Deferred		
HB 405 CS	Favorable With Committee Substitute	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 573	Favorable	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 683	Favorable With Committee Substitute	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 703	Favorable	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 757	Favorable	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 823	Temporarily Deferred		
HB 847	Favorable	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 891	Favorable	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 921	Favorable With Committee Substitute	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 923	Favorable	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 925	Favorable	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 927	Favorable	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 929	Favorable	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 935	Favorable With Committee Substitute	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0
HB 939	Favorable With Committee Substitute	Yeas: 8	Nays: 0

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM

COUNCIL MEETING REPORT

Local Government Council

3/8/2006 1:00:00PM

Location: 404 HOB

HB 1021 Favorable Yeas: 8 Nays: 0

Committee meeting was reported out: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 5:03:17PM